UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,407	06/12/2006	Kazutomo Murakami	Q95419	6272
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/30/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	WANG, JACK K		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,407	MURAKAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JACK WANG	2612		

	JACK WANG	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment (a). They raise new issues that would require further core (b). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c). They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or.  (d). They present additional claims without canceling a considered and the	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Daniel Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1, Applicant emphasis the meaning of the word "synchronized", and argues that the Brown reference uses temperature and pressure independent of rat of rotation of the tire. According to the dictionary.com, the word "sychronize" is defined as to go on, move, operate, work, etc., at the same rate and exactly together. The Brown reference teaches the data collection of pressure sychronized with temperature during the tire rotation, and issue an warning when the tire pressure is at abnormal range. Therefore, the Brown reference teaches all limitation claimed by applicant. Furthermore, regarding to claims 2-11, Examiner has clearly disclosed all of the claimed limititation either explicitly or in combination with proper references stated in prior Office Action.